CRITIQUE


Your comments regarding the course you have just completed would be most helpful as a basis for evaluating the present program and for improvement or modification of future European Operations courses.

- 1. Do you feel that this course achieved its stated objectives? If not, please specify ir what ways you believe the course fell short of its objectives. (Please refer to the schedule for a precise statement of the course objectives.)
 - a. No
 b. Yes, to a slight degree
 c. Yes, to a moderate degree
 d. Yes, to a considerable degree
 e. Yes, to an exceptional degree

Comments: The form part of this critique law limiting to the

- 2. Of what value to you consider this course to you in your present or next assignment?
 - a. Slight X.
 b. Moderate
 c. Considerable
 d. Extraordinary
- 3. Please note the presentation(s) which you found of greatest value and which you found of least value. List as many as desired.
- a. Of greatest value:

b. Of least value:



a. Of slight interest

b. Of moderate interest

c. Of great interest

Approved For Release 2002/01/07: CIA-RDP78-05795A000300030034-4

A Tris Vietul

25X1A9A

Approved F release 2002/01/67^C: CIA-RDP78-057954000300030034-4

Please answer the following questions as fully as possible, since one-word or extremely brief replies provide little basis upon which to judge the merits or weaknesses of the course.

5. Given the course's stated objectives, do you consider the course sufficiently comprehensive? Are there any subjects of European operational concern, which you feel should have been included in the course, but were not? Conversely, are there any subjects which you consider extraneous and feel should be dropped?

Generally, I feel the frist how weeks of the Course suffered from a lock of releasing, too low a level of signification and a good deal of wasted time.

6. Do you feel there was excessive duplication or overlap of material presented under the various subject headings within the course?

no

7. Did you find that the interrelationship of the various topics was adequately demonstrated in this course?

to dwell or "interrelationships" of the isonows to pies that most of his have been diving with for one 15 years

(Use reverse if necessary)

1

Approved FerRelease 2002/09/07. CIA-RDP78-057954000300030034-4

8. Do you think there was a proper balance between lecture, discussion, and reading period? If not, please specify recommendations for improvement.

ho trongets except I learned for more from discussion with fellow strate. Is the from the material presented

9. Do you think the reading materials were adequate?

NO

10. If this course were optional, would you recommend it to others?

11. Do you have any other comments, criticism or recommendations?

Critician and presentation in menuorale from at Has.

(Use reverse if necessary)

Approved For Release 2002/01/07 : CIA-RDP78-05795A00 300030034-4

ra sakabi di dipertuyu Tabbutur Pertuk di dibun di alah bun bun di dibun di dibun di dibun di dibun di dibun d Liberah di adalah Milandi di dibungkabi di dibungkabi di dibungkabi di dibungkabi di dibungkabi di dibungkabi d General: - High level of presentation & instruction - Voeful Newsen of agreement available - Practical problem perticulent, effective - Instructors and buefers were impressive, helpful and very well prepared - all-in-all: Well worth while (unchange TSD Presentation
Suggestions: RSD morning was great!

25X1A14A

" para hilitary commo as not relacent to Curonean opo.

Compress course in time but hake It more interine - less bleake, "more hight work, more Comparehensing markle

25X1C1A2

Dolusto